CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS OFFICE OF POLICE CONDUCT REVIEW

COMPLAINT INFORMATION						
Case Number	Precinct	CCN	Date of Incide	nt	Time	Preference
18-15517		18-278723	August 18, 20	018	07:00 PM	Police Investigation
Location of Incident	City/State/	City/State/Zip		Date of Complaint		
3523 E 42st Apt 2	Minneapoli	Minneapolis, MN 55406		September 09, 2018		
Complainant Name (L	iddle Initial)		Sex	Race	DOB	
Pı	Policy		М	White	Privacy Policy	
Home Address	The Marian Property of the Control o	City/State/	′Zip		Primar	y Telephone
Privacy Policy						
JURISDICTION						
OPCR Ord. § 172.20(Violation of	Violation of the P&P Manual				
BADGE/NAME ALLEGED POLICY VIOLATION				NS		
0244; Atkinson, Ryan MPD P&P § 10-401 – Responsibility for Inventory Evidence					or Inventory o	f Property and
ALLEGATION SUMMARY						
Complainant asserts that his watch was removed during his arrest and that is it now missing. The watch can						
be seen on video as he is being handcuffed.						
SUPERVISOR ASSESSMENT				1	# 1453 - 10045 814	
INQUIRY (INTAKE - COMPLAINT FILED)				3401 ☐ Draft		
MEDIATION				Final approved		
Refer to Mediation				DISMISS		
COACHING				Reckoning Period Expired		
Refer to Precinct				☐ No Basis		
INVESTIGATIONS				Failure to State a Claim		
Preliminary Investigation				Failure to Cooperate		
Civilian Investigator:				Exceptionally Cleared		
Sworn Investigator: Admin Investigation: Investigator: Walker				Lack of Jurisdiction Withdrawn		
Tel Admin mycongation, mycongator, wanter				Duplicate		
FINAL APPROVED INVESTIGATIVE REPORT				Refer to Dispatch		
Refer to Panel				Refer to EIS		
Refer to:						
IAU Supervisor				<u>, — </u>		Date
LA. M. W						4-16-19
Director - Office of Police Conduct Review Date						Date /
4/						4/10/19

Complaint Form #3401

350 S. Fifth St. - Room 130 Minneapolis, MN 55415 TEL 612.673.3000

www.minneapolismn.gov

NOTICE OF DISCIPLINE

January 22, 2020

Officer Ryan Atkinson
Pct. 4 Neighborhood Directed Patrol
Minneapolis Police Department

RE:

OPCR Case Number 18-15517 **Notice of Written Reprimand**

Officer Atkinson,

The finding for OPCR Case #18-15517 is as follows:

Policy Number 10-401

Sub-Section

Policy Description

Responsibility for Inventory of Property Evidence

Category

Disposition

Merit

As discipline for this incident, you will receive this Letter of Reprimand.

This case will remain in the OPCR files per the record retention guidelines mandated by State Law.

Be advised that any additional violations of Department Rules and Regulations may result in disciplinary action up to and including discharge.

Sincerely,

Medaria Arradondo

Chief of Police

By:

Michael Kjos, Assistant Chief of Police

Dain Chulonlo

Henry Halvorson, Deputy Chief, Professional Standards Bureau

NOTICE OF RECEIPT

Acknowledgement of receipt:

I, Ryan Atkinson, acknowledge that I have received my Notice of Discipline for OPCR Case #18-15517.

Officer Ryan Atkinson

Inspector Kelvin Pulphus

06-23-2020

Date of receip

hispector Kerviii i dipiras

CC: Personnel

Inspector Pulphus

OPCR





Investigative Summary

Complaint Number:

18-15517

Investigator:

Sergeant Aimee Walker

Officer:

Officer Ryan Atkinson, # 0244

Case Type:

Administrative

Date of Incident:

8/18/2018

Complaint Filed:

9/9/2018

CASE OVERVIEW

On August 18, Officer Atkinson and his partner, Officer Chauvin were dispatched to a domestic abuse in progress at 3523 42 St E. Officer Atkinson and Officer Chauvin entered the residence and spoke with both the victim and the suspect (complainant).

While Officer Chauvin conducted the interview of the victim, Officer Atkinson escorted the suspect (Berg) out to the squad car. Officer Atkinson remained in the squad with Berg throughout the duration of the call.

Berg can be seen on body worn camera (BWC) and mobile video recording (MVR) as wearing a black watch on his right wrist. At one point while seated in the rear of the squad, Berg was attempting to contact his daughter using his watch. Officer Atkinson exited the squad car and removed the watch from Berg's wrist. It appeared as though Officer Atkinson placed the watch in the center console area of the squad.

On 9/9/20018, Berg completed an online complaint which stated that his watch has been missing since it was taken off his wrist.

The BWC footage from Officer Atkinson and Officer Chauvin ended upon them entering the jail prisoner intake area.

Officer Atkinson can be seen on MVR placing the watch on the hood of the squad car¹. MVR footage was stopped once Berg was removed from the squad and walked in to the intake area.

The watch did not show as having been inventoried by either Officer Atkinson or Officer Chauvin, nor did it show as having been inventoried when a search was done via PIMS query.

Hennepin County Jail was contacted and asked if the watch had been documented in Mr. Berg's property. It had not been.

The video footage from the intake area of the sallyport was no longer available².

ALLEGATIONS

Allegation 1: It is alleged that Officer Atkinson failed to properly inventory property per policy 10-401 *Responsibility for Inventory of Property Evidence*.

CASE INVESTIGATION

Officer Atkinson and Officer Chauvin were dispatched to 3512 42nd St E on a report of a domestic abuse in progress. Both officers entered the residence to speak with the victim and the suspect (Berg).

Berg can be seen on BWC wearing a black watch on his right wrist³.

Officer Atkinson walks Berg out to the squad car to detain him while Officer Chauvin remains inside speaking with the victim. Berg is placed in the backseat of the squad car, handcuffed behind his back.

Berg can be seen and heard on the MVR footage attempting to use his watch to contact his daughter⁴. Officer Atkinson exited the squad, opened the back door, and took the watch off of Berg's wrist⁵. Officer Atkinson appeared to have placed the watch to his right, near center of the squad/cup-holder/radio.

¹ MVR P#76788, 19:57:32

² Email from Seth Augdahl

³ MVR P#76788, 19:06:28

⁴ BWC Atkinson, 01:00:34

⁵ BWC Atkinson, 1:01:25

Berg is transported to Hennepin County Jail by Officer Atkinson and Officer Chauvin. Both officer's BWC were shut off once they entered the sallyport.

Officer Atkinson can be seen placing the watch on the hood of the squad car, near the right side. Officer Chauvin is seen entering the intake area. The MVR footage is stopped prior to the doors closing. It did not show Officer Atkinson entering the in-take area.

Officer Chauvin completed a PIMS report on the arrest. Officer Atkinson did not complete a supplement. The watch was not property inventoried under the GO # 2018-278723. A query of property did not show a black Samsung smart watch as having been inventoried since the date of the incident.

I spoke with Cecilia Weyek at the jail who stated a watch was not one of the items listed in Berg's property when he was brought in.

Sergeant Seth Augdahl was contacted via email in attempts to see if video footage was available for the date and time Berg was brought in. Sergeant Augdahl advised that the video was no longer available as their server only stores video for a certain time frame.⁶

A letter was sent via certified mail to Mr. Berg on February 4, 2019. The letter was returned undeliverable. Berg did not respond to requests for information via telephone.

Officer Atkinson was interviewed in the Internal Affairs Office on 2/18/2019. I asked Officer Atkinson if he recalled what he did with the watch after taking it off of Berg's wrist. Officer Atkinson stated that he thought he gave it to the jail staff.⁷ I asked Officer Atkinson if he remembered dealing with the watch once he got to the jail. He stated no. I asked Officer Atkinson if he would have done anything different. Officer Atkinson stated he would ask his partners to remind him to give the watch to the jail staff.⁸

Officer Chauvin was interviewed in the Internal Affairs Office on 3/11/2019. I asked Officer Chauvin if he was aware Officer Atkinson had taken Mr. Berg's watch off his wrist. Officer Chauvin stated he was not. I asked Officer Chauvin he ever saw the watch anywhere in the squad car and he told me no. Officer Chauvin did not recall if Officer Atkinson had given one of the jailers the watch.⁹

⁶ Email chain/Seth Augdahl

⁷ Q&A Atkinson, Page 3, Lines 4-5

⁸ Q&A Atkinson, Page 3, Lines 28-31

⁹ Q&A Chauvin, Page 2, Lines 30-40

DISCUSSION

The Minneapolis Police Department's Policy and Procedure Manual states in part:

10-401 RESPONSIBILITY FOR INVENTORY OF PROPERTY AND EVIDENCE (12/14/07) (A-D)

Definitions:

- Property any material object of value, however slight, tangible or intangible to which an owner has a legal right.
- Evidence documentary or oral statements and material objects admissible as testimony in a court of law.

All MPD employees taking possession of property, whether evidentiary or non-evidentiary, shall place such property in the custody of the Property and Evidence Unit and complete the inventory prior to the end of their shift. The inventory shall include all evidence seized regardless of whether an arrest has been made. This includes sworn employees working off-duty employment. (04/01/93) (02/19/02) (12/14/07)

The allegation is that Officer Atkinson failed to properly handle Berg's watch by property inventorying it.

Officer Atkinson can be seen taking Berg's watch off of his wrist both on BWC and MVR footage. MVR footage later showed that Officer Atkinson placed the watch on the hood of the squad car. When asked about what he did with the watch, Officer Atkinson stated he believed he gave it to the jail staff. Officer Atkinson did not remember which jailer he would have given it to.

Both BWC footage and MVR footage ended once Officer Chauvin entered the intake area with Berg. There is no footage that exhists after Berg is walked inside.

The video footage of the in-take area of the jail no longer exists.

The Cecilia Weyek at Hennepin County Jail property advised me that there was never a watch listed under Berg's property.

Searches via PIMS showed that a black Samsung smart watch had not been inventoried under the assigned GO # or since the incident date.

Attempts to contact Berg via certified mail and telephone were unsuccessful.

CLOSING

When making a determination on the allegations the key issue to consider is:

- 1. Did Officer Atkinson properly handle Berg's property?
 - a. Officer Atikinson removed the watch from Berg's wrist prior to arriving at jail.
 - b. Officer Atkinson placed the watch on the hood of the squad car.
 - c. Officer Atkinson stated he thought he gave the watch to the deputies at jail intake¹⁰.
 - d. Officer Atkinson did not property inventory the watch.
 - e. Berg did not responded to requests for information via telephone.

I confirm that the information I provided in this case is true to the best of my knowledge.

estigator:

Date

¹⁰ Q&A Atkinson, Page 3, Lines 4-5



350 S. Fifth St. - Room 130 Minneapolis, MN 55415 TEL 612.673.3000

www.minneapolismn.gov

NOTICE OF DISCIPLINE

January 22, 2020

Officer Ryan Atkinson Pct. 4 Neighborhood Directed Patrol Minneapolis Police Department

RE:

OPCR Case Number 18-15517

Notice of Written Reprimand

Officer Atkinson,

The finding for OPCR Case #18-15517 is as follows:

Policy Number

Sub-Section

Policy Description

<u>Category</u>

Disposition

10-401

Responsibility for Inventory of Property Evidence

В

Merit

As discipline for this incident, you will receive this Letter of Reprimand.

This case will remain in the OPCR files per the record retention guidelines mandated by State Law.

Be advised that any additional violations of Department Rules and Regulations may result in disciplinary action up to and including discharge.

Sincerely,

Medaria Arradondo Chief of Police

By:

Michael Kjos, Assistant Chief of Police

Henry Halvorson, Deputy Chief, Professional Standards Bureau

NOTICE OF RECEIPT

Acknowledgement of receipt:

I, Ryan Atkinson, acknowledge that I have received my Notice of Discipline for OPCR Case #18-15517.

Officer Ryan Atkinson

Date of receipt

Inspector Kelvin Pulphus

Date

CC:

Personnel

Inspector Pulphus

OPCR